IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 13413 of 2005

Between:

K.Mallaiah, S/o.Ramaiah,
Reddipally (V) & (P), Chegunta Mandal, Medak district.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Medak Region, Medak district.
2 The Dy.Chief Traffic Manager, APSRTC., Medak Region,

Medak District.
3 The Depot Manager, APSRTC., Medak Bus Depot, Medak district.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order, direction or writ more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in issuing the Proceedings No.02/95(5)/90-MDK, dt.28-3-2000 imposing punishment of deferment of annual increments for a period of two (2) Years with cumulative effect as illegal, arbitrary and unjust and consequently set aside the proceedings No.02/95(5)/90-MDK, dt.28-3-2000 issued by the 3rd respondent and pass such further other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR.G.RAVI MOHAN

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.V.T.M.PRASAD

The Court made the following :

O R D E R:

-

This Writ Petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the order passed by the 3rd respondent imposing the punishment of deferment of annual increments for a period of two years with cumulative effect.

2. It is stated that the petitioner was appointed as a conductor on 13-11-1979 in the respondent Corporation. While he was conducting a bus on 13.1.1999 in between Darapally to Medak, a check was exercised by the checking officials at Stage No.7/6 at about 10.30 hours, and the officials found some cash and ticket irregularities. Therefore, the petitioner was charge sheeted, and after conducting necessary enquiry, the 3rd respondent passed the impugned order. Against the said impugned order the petitioner filed an appeal in the month of May 2000 before the 2nd respondent. But, the 2nd respondent did not pass any orders in the appeal till date. Hence, the petitioner has come up with the present Writ Petition.

3. Heard the both sides and considered the material on record.

4. In view of the above facts, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the 2nd respondent to dispose of the appeal, said to have been filed by the petitioner in the month of May 2000 against the impugned order passed by the 3rd respondent expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

_____

S.Ananda Reddy, J.

12…07…2005

Kvh

To

1 The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Medak Region, Medak district.
2 The Dy.Chief Traffic Manager, APSRTC., Medak Region,

Medak District.
3 The Depot Manager, APSRTC., Medak Bus Depot, Medak district.

4 2 CD copies

5 1 CC to MR.RAVI MOHAN

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. ANANDA REDDY

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

W.P. No. 13413 of 2005

O R D E R

12..07..2005